

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,192	MUELLER ET AL.
	Examiner Frank M. Lawrence	Art Unit 1797
All Participants: (1) <u>Frank M. Lawrence</u> . (2) <u>Paul Killos</u> .	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u> (3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2009</u>	Time: <u>3 pm e.t.</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>17</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>none</i>		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error in claim 17. The examiner advised applicant that it appears that the first inventor's name is misspelled on the application data sheet.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		